Argyll and Bute Community Planning Partnership

MAKI Area Community Planning Group argyll and bute communityplanningpartnership

12 August 2015

1. Purpose

1.1 Kintyre Initiative Partnership (KIP) met on Friday 26th June 2015, and having heard a presentation from Campbell Fox, Campbeltown Sailing Club, agreed that the project should be recommended for inclusion within the appendix to the SOA: Local for MAKI, provided it meets the criteria.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the project be supported by MAKI ACPG for inclusion within the Community Led Initiatives appendix to the SOA: Local in recognition of its role as a community project contributing to achieving outcomes of the SOA.
- 2.2 That the project seeks support from the relevant outcome Lead for the SOA.
- 2.3 With approval from both, the project is included in the Community Led Initiatives appendix to the SOA: Local, MAKI.

3. The Campbeltown Sailing Club Development Plan

- 3.1 Campbeltown Sailing Club have developed a plan to develop their facility and surrounding area, linking to the Dalintober pier and beach, providing training and development opportunities and development of the Kintyre Seaports concept. This is a plan for a cross-sectoral partnership which will plan and deliver a range of watersports opportunities to both Campbeltown and the wider Kintyre area.
- 3.2 Partners will include the Council, HIE and third sector organisations with an interest both in the environment and in sport, leisure and cultural development of the Kintyre area.
- 3.3 This project is very much at the planning and development stage and will require significant partnership input and support to proceed to full delivery.
- 3.4 Campbeltown Sailing Club has applied for Lottery funding to assist with

project development work and costs.

4. Linking to Outcomes of the Single Outcome Agreement (SOA)

4.1 This project links to several of the outcomes of the SOA, in particular Education, Skills and Training Maximises Opportunities for All (Outcome 3), Children and Young People have the Best Possible Start (Outcome 4) and People live Active, Healthier and Independent Lives (Outcome 5).

5. Assessment against Criteria

- 5.1 The project needs to be assessed for inclusion against the criteria in Appendix 1, as agreed at the meeting of MAKI ACPG on 3 March 2015. The project fulfils questions 1-3 of the criteria:
 - The project is directly related to SOA outcomes.
 - The project demonstrates partnership working and community support.
 - The project is in planning stages and can be categorised as 'underway'
- 5.2 The project needs to meet a 'yes' under the following questions :
 - The project requests support from the relevant Area Community Planning Group
 - The project has not yet sought support from the relevant outcome lead for the SOA
- 5.3 It is assumed that the project, given it is in the planning stages, is asking for endorsement to be appended in the Community Led Initiatives appendix of the SOA: Local, and not in any future reporting framework for the SOA. This assumption refers to question 6, within the criteria for inclusion set out in Appendix A.

6. SOA Outcomes

6.1 This paper is related to Outcomes 3, 4 and 5.

For further information please contact:

Shirley Macleod, Area Governance Manager, Argyll and Bute Council Tel: 01369 707134

Appendix 1: Criteria for inclusion of Community Initiatives within the SOA: Local

	Grass root and other activity not currently included in the SOA Delivery Plan			
	-			
1.	Does the project/activity directly relate to one or more of the SOA indicators?		Yes	No
2.	Does the project/activity demonstrate partnership working or community support?		Yes	No
3.	Is the project/activity fully developed / viable / underway / ready for implementation?		Yes	No
4.	Is the project/activity supported by the relevant Area Community Planning Group?		Yes	No
5.	Is the project/activity endorsed by the relevant outcome lead for the SOA?		Yes	No
	If all answers are yes to the above proceed to 6. If one or more answer is no, further development work is required before inclusion.			
6.	s the community group wanting endorsement from the Area Community Planning Group but not inclusion in the eporting framework?		Yes,	No,
			go to 7	7 go to 8
7.	Project/activity included in community led initiatives section of the SOA: Local			
8.	Is the relevant outcome lead content for the project/activity to be part of the reporting framework and included in the strategic delivery plans of the SOA?	b be part of the reporting included at appropriate		No, remai n at 7
		noriod		